

Feasibility Study on a CBAM: International Engagement

Questions for Australian counterparts (officials)

- What is the status of the work on emissions leakage in Australia?
- Did you undertake a literature review that you could share with us?
- We are starting to think about our analytical approach to the study and would be interested in discussing in more detail (beyond what is in published reports):
 - Policy scenarios you considered
 - Parameters and assumptions applied
 - General tips and tricks you have for us at the outset
- We are exploring different options for modelling and wondered about the potential to build upon the input-output analysis you did and any other potential modelling?
- Is there more detailed analysis beyond what has been published that you would be willing to share with us?
- How did you engage with your international counterparts (governments and industries) around the implications of a CBAM and would you be willing to share what you learnt from those engagements?
- How do we best engage? Would a couple of workshops be possible? If we were to do this, who would we be best to engage with?

Industry specific questions:

- The Australian Review acknowledged downstream impacts. Did their analysis go beyond high-level modelling to look specifically at the **price impact on concrete** and its **competitiveness against other building materials**? If so, what was the methodology?
- How did they manage the feedback and **competing interests of cement producers versus the downstream precast and ready-mix concrete sectors**?
- Australia's concrete industry has its own [decarbonisation roadmap](#). Was the **investability towards achieving that roadmap** considered as a performance indicator for a potential Carbon Leakage mechanism?

Note for CBA: We are undertaking this work in collaboration with the NZ cement industry. 9(2)(g)(i)

Could you please advise of any concerns you have with this.

Industry recommendations on who to engage with the EU

9(2)(a) from Concrete NZ has recommended some contacts to reach out to in the EU:

- 9(2)(a) (good starting point for CBAM policy)
- 9(2)(a) (Tim notes that he used to be in contact with him)
- 9(2)(a)

Information from EU and UK government officials, if not already provided

- Did you undertake a literature review that you could share with us?
- How best to model the impacts on the cement sector and broader economic impacts – is there a model you would be willing to share with us?
- Insights on key design considerations and choices;
 - Approach to their industrial allocation schemes

- Revenue gathering tool used (i.e. levy vs certificates)
 - Border implications considerations
 - Reporting requirements
 - Transitional period
- Understanding how their CBAM policies impact NZ (not part of the scope of the study at present, but could form part of the conversations)